MUSIQUENHANCEMENT



Lifelong Learning Programme





- General introduction
- Concept of quality
- o MusiQuE standards
- o MusiQuE and AEC
- Benefits of using MusiQuE
- Future developments
- o MusiQuE and you...



What is MusiQuE – Music Quality Enhancement?

- An independent European-level subject-specific external evaluation body
- o Its aim:
 - assist institutions in quality enhancement
 - improve quality of higher music education as a whole
- MusiQuE takes over and develops AEC review responsibility (29 reviews since 2008)
- Various services with one philosophy

Short trip into the past: more than 10 years of work on quality enhancement

Working groups with representatives of AEC members:

- 2002-2004: 'Music Study, Mobility and Accountability' project with NASM
- 2006-2007: first review criteria and procedures
- 2007-2014 (Polifonia projects): fine-tuning criteria and procedures, formulating standards
- 2 AEC-wide surveys showing broad support
- o 2011: AEC Quality Enhancement Committee founded
- 7 October 2014: establishment of MusiQuE as an independent legal entity



The structure of MusiQuE

3 partner organisations: AEC, EMU, Pearle*

- 3 main bodies:
- MusiQuE Board (5 members) responsible for overseeing all MusiQuE activities
- Peer-reviewers trained
- Supporting staff



Concept of Quality

Tension between 'standards' and 'quality'
Music sector has been strong on musical/artistic standards
'Educational quality' fairly new
MusiQuE brings both together and can suggest tools to support both aspects



The MusiQuE Services

- Quality enhancement reviews for institutions, programmes and joint programmes
- Accreditation procedures for institutions, programmes and joint programmes
- Joint procedures with national quality assurance and accreditation agencies
- Quality Assurance Desk



Principles of MusiQuE reviews

- Respecting the special characteristics of higher music education
- Bringing a European/international dimension to the procedure
- Encouraging institutions to reflect on their own practice, development and challenges
- Assisting them in the enhancement of their quality by focusing on learning and experience-sharing
- Striving towards a higher level of objectivity (involvement of international review teams)
- o Striving for the improvement of higher music education
- Adjusting to very diverse national situations



The usual MusiQuE procedures 3 steps

- Preparation of analytical self-evaluation report
- Site-visit of peer-review team
 - At least 4 reviewers, including a student
 - Meetings with various stakeholders
 - Visits of classes and lessons, attendance of concerts/ recitals
- Report of the peer-review team



MusiQuE Standards for Institutional, Programme and Joint Programme Review

3 Sets of Standards

8 Domains of enquiry

- 1) Mission and vision /Programme goals and context
- 2) Educational processes
- 3) Student profiles (admission to, progress through and completion of the programme)
- 4) Teaching staff
- 5) Facilities, resources and support
- 6) Organisation and decision-making processes and
- 7) Internal quality culture
- 8) Public interaction



[INSTITUTIONNAL REVIEW] 2.2 International perspect					
Standard 2.2	Questions to be considered when	Supp	Supportive material/ evidence		
The institution offers	addressing this standard	•	International strategy		
a range of	a) What is the institutional strategy for	•	Any other strategies to promote		
opportunities for	offering international perspectives and	i	international cooperation, the inclusion of		
students to gain an	experiences to students?	1	foreign students and staff and student and		
international			staff exchanges		
perspective.	b) To what extent do the study	•	Language policy		
	programmes and the extra-curricular	•	Information and services available for		
	activities broaden the students'	i	incoming and outgoing students and staff		
	international perspectives and	•	Overview of international partnerships,		
	experiences?		co-operation agreements and		
			participation in European/ international		
	c) How is the institution participating in		projects		
	international partnerships/exchanges?	•	International activities within and outside		
		1	the curriculum		
	d) How are incoming and outgoing		 Masterclasses 		
	students and staff supported by the		 International projects 		
	institution?		 Visiting performers/lecturers 		
			• Etc.		
	e) Does the institution have international	• :	Student/staff feedback (focus groups,		
	teachers delivering parts of the	i	internal and external surveys)		
	curriculum?	•	Statistical data:		
			• Numbers of international students		
	f) How have teachers developed		and staff		
	international expertise?		 Numbers of international visiting 		

[PROGRAMME REVIE	W] 2. Educational processes	5				
2.1 The curriculum and its methods of delivery						
Standard 2.1	Questions to be considered when	Supportive material/ evidences				
The goals of the	addressing this standard	•	Cou	rse handbook and syllabi showing:		
programme are	a) How does the curriculum reflect the		0	Overall structure of the curriculum		
achieved through	institutional mission and address the		0	Learning outcomes of the		
the content and	goals of the programme?			programme		
structure of the			0	The use of ECTS credits		
curriculum and its	b) What are the learning outcomes of		0	Characteristics of individual		
methods of delivery.	the programme and how do they take			modules (credits, content, specific		
	into account the various aspects of the			learning outcomes, assessment		
	'Polifonia Dublin Descriptors' (PDDs)			methods)		
	and/ or the AEC learning outcomes?		0	Availability of options for personal		
				study profiles within the course		
	c) How does the programme enable			structure		
	students to develop individual study		0	Any additional features such as in		
	profiles?			the case of Masters study,		
				additional qualifications compared		
	d) Where appropriate, is there a			to a bachelor's degree		
	connection/ progression between this	•	Evic	lence of how the curriculum is linked		
	programme and other study			he PDDs and/or the AEC learning		
	programmes/cycles?	outcomes, or information about plans				
			for	the introduction and use of these		
	(etc.)		-			

[JOINT PROGRAMME REVIEW]

3. Student profiles:

3.1 Admission/Entrance qualifications Standard 3.1 Questions to be considered when Supportive material/ evidence There are clear addressing this standard Formal admission requirements and • criteria for student a) What elements and factors are procedures (e.g. joint admission admission, based on involved in determining admission criteria, joint deadlines for application, an assessment of capacity and profile? presence of examiners from partner their institutions) b) What admission procedures are in • artistic/academic **Examples of reports of admission** suitability for the place within the joint construction of examinations joint programme. the programme and what are the recognition mechanisms (prior learning, etc.)? c) Does the programme have clear and appropriate criteria for admissions for all types of applicants (including mature students, Lifelong learning, etc.)? d) In what ways do the entrance abilities requirements the assess technical / academic / (artistic /

pedagogical) of the applicants to

Revision of standards and procedures

- Any member of AEC, EMU and Pearle*-Live Performance Europe is able to suggest changes.
- Proposals should be submitted to the MusiQuE Board before January 31st each year
- A final proposal is prepared by the MusiQuE Board and submitted to the GA of each partner organisation

MusiQuE internal and external quality assurance

Internal feedback mechanisms

- Feedback questionnaires leading to improvement of the procedures
- Mechanism to alert Board members
- Annual report
- External quality assurance
 - External evaluator
 - External reviews (EQAR)



Why is it important for MusiQuE to be independent from AEC?

- Independence is needed for making objective assessments in the MusiQuE board
- We don't want the collegial and inclusive nature of AEC to be compromised



How will AEC and MusiQuE interact?

- 3 of the 5 MusiQuE Board members appointed by AEC Council and endorsed by GA
- Standing member of appeals committee endorsed by GA
- Input from AEC members on standards and procedures
- AEC Office staff and MusiQuE staff
- Reduced rates for AEC members

=> AEC should remain an inclusive and collegial membership organisation



Who can use MusiQuE and what are the benefits? (I)

Institutions can use MusiQuE services and benefit from:

- Advice from international specialists
- The use of internationally accepted standards
- An emphasis on improvement
- Helping to establish an international reputation
- A comparable approach that will build trust
- MusiQuE's flexible structure with diverse services
- Guidance with targeted advice and professional development
- Staff and students will benefit from the QA Desk
- National QA and accreditation agencies



Who can use MusiQuE and what are the benefits? (II)

• Students in particular will benefit from:

- Improved quality of education and service
- An active involvement in the procedures
- Empowerment through feedback
- Improved recognition

Collaboration with national QA & Accreditation Agencies – examples from the past

- Agency organising the procedure(s) based on a merged set of standards and on AEC suggestion for experts (Romania, Armenia)
- AEC responsible for implementing the whole evaluation procedure and delivering the report to the agency (Germany, The Netherlands)
- AEC and Agency jointly organising the procedure(s) (Switzerland, Russia, Belgium)



MusiQuE's future development

- MusiQuE as the 'go-to' provider for review and accreditation in music
 - Completion of EQAR registration to do formally recognised accreditation procedures
- Standards for pre-college training and music teacher training
- Supporting procedures in multi-disciplinary institutions with various performing arts disciplines



Finally...

• This is all about:

- Being accountable and also focused on improving ourselves
- Being mission-driven
- Confirming the international reality of our profession
- Strengthening credibility of the sector by showing this is something we can organise ourselves

MusiQuE and you...

MusiQuE's potential involvement in Switzerland



Summary of the Quality Assurance System in Switzerland

New guidelines since 1 July 2015

- Guidelines of the Higher Education Council for accreditation within the higher education sector
- Higher music education institutions can choose a foreign assessment agency such as MusiQuE for an external audit of their activities
 - Institutional accreditation = mandatory
 - Programme accreditation = voluntary
- MusiQuE will able to assist both in institutional and programme accreditation processes (once recognized by the Swiss Accreditation Council)
- Swiss Accreditation Council shall take the final decision on the accreditation, based upon the MusiQuE report



MusiQuE – Music Quality Enhancement

Website : www.musique-qe.eu

Request MusiQuE reviews! Contact us! info@musique-qe.eu

