# MUSIQUE NHANCEMENT ALITY





### Content

- General introduction
- MusiQuE standards
- MusiQuE and AEC
- Benefits of using MusiQuE
- Future developments
- MusiQuE and you...



# What is MusiQuE – Music Quality Enhancement?

- An independent European-level subject-specific external evaluation body
- o Its aim:
  - assist institutions in quality enhancement
  - improve quality of higher music education as a whole
- MusiQuE takes over and develops AEC review responsibility (29 reviews since 2008)
- Various services with one philosophy



# Short trip into the past: more than 10 years of work on quality enhancement

#### Working groups with representatives of AEC members:

- 2002-2004: 'Music Study, Mobility and Accountability' project with NASM
- 2006-2007: first review criteria and procedures
- 2007-2014 (Polifonia projects): fine-tuning criteria and procedures, formulating standards
- 2 AEC-wide surveys showing broad support
- 2011: AEC Quality Enhancement Committee founded
- 7 October 2014: establishment of
   MusiQuE as an independent legal entity



#### The structure of MusiQuE

3 partner organisations: AEC, EMU, Pearle\*

#### 3 main bodies:

- MusiQuE Board (5 members) responsible for overseeing all MusiQuE activities
- Peer-reviewers trained
- Supporting staff



#### The MusiQuE Services

- Quality enhancement reviews for institutions, programmes and joint programmes
- Accreditation procedures for institutions, programmes and joint programmes
- Joint procedures with national quality assurance and accreditation agencies
- Quality Assurance Desk



# The MusiQuE Procedures 3 steps

- Preparation of analytical self-evaluation report
- Site-visit of peer-review team
  - At least 4 reviewers, including a student
  - Meetings with various stakeholders
  - Visits of classes and lessons, attendance of concerts/ recitals
- Report of the peer-review team



# MusiQuE Standards for Institutional, Programme and Joint Programme Review

#### 3 Sets of Standards

- 8 Domains of enquiry
  - 1) Mission and vision /Programme goals and context
  - 2) Educational processes
  - 3) Student profiles (admission to, progress through and completion of the programme)
  - 4) Teaching staff
  - 5) Facilities, resources and support
  - 6) Organisation and decision-making processes and
  - 7) Internal quality culture
  - 8) Public interaction



## Revision of standards and procedures

- Any member of AEC, EMU and Pearle\*-Live Performance Europe is able to suggest changes.
- Proposals should be submitted to the MusiQuE Board before January 31st each year
- A final proposal is prepared by the MusiQuE Board and submitted to the GA of each partner organisation

## MusiQuE internal and external quality assurance

- Internal feedback mechanisms
  - Feedback questionnaires leading to improvement of the procedures
  - Mechanism to alert Board members
  - Annual report
- External quality assurance
  - External evaluator
  - External reviews (EQAR)



### Why is it important for MusiQuE to be independent from AEC?

- Independence is needed for making objective assessments in the MusiQuE board
- We don't want the collegial and inclusive nature of AEC to be compromised



### How will AEC and MusiQuE interact?

- 3 of the 5 MusiQuE Board members appointed by AEC Council and endorsed by GA
- Standing member of appeals committee endorsed by GA
- Input from AEC members on standards and procedures
- AEC Office staff and MusiQuE staff
- Reduced rates for AEC members
- => AEC should remain an inclusive and collegial membership organisation



## Who can use MusiQuE and what are the benefits? (I)

- Institutions can use MusiQuE services and benefit from:
  - Advice from international specialists
  - The use of internationally accepted standards
  - An emphasis on improvement
  - Helping to establish an international reputation
  - A comparable approach that will build trust
  - MusiQuE's flexible structure with diverse services
  - Guidance with targeted advice and professional development
- Staff and students will benefit from the QA Desk
- National QA and accreditation agencies



## Who can use MusiQuE and what are the benefits? (II)

- Students in particular will benefit from:
  - Improved quality of education and service
  - An active involvement in the procedures
  - Empowerment through feedback
  - Improved recognition



# Collaboration with national QA & Accreditation Agencies — examples from the past

- Agency organising the procedure(s) based on a merged set of standards and on AEC suggestion for experts (Romania, Armenia)
- AEC responsible for implementing the whole evaluation procedure and delivering the report to the agency (Germany, The Netherlands)
- AEC and Agency jointly organising the procedure(s) (Switzerland, Russia, Belgium)



### MusiQuE's future development

- MusiQuE as the 'go-to' provider for review and accreditation in music
  - Completion of EQAR registration to do formally recognised accreditation procedures
- Standards for pre-college training and music teacher training
- Supporting procedures in multi-disciplinary institutions with various performing arts disciplines



### MusiQuE and you...

### MusiQuE's potential involvement in ANMA countries



#### Denmark

- Quality assurance on programme and institutional level
- Accreditation Institution (Accreditation Council + Agency)
   prepares accreditation reports
  - Institutions are allowed to contact external agencies for the preparation of the reports
  - External agencies need to be listed on the European Quality Assurance Register (EQAR)
- MusiQuE (once registered on EQAR) is able to assist in formal accreditation processes
- Final decision to accredit institutions or programmes will be made by the Accreditation Council

#### Estonia

- Quality assurance on programme and institutional level
- Estonian Quality Agency for Higher and Vocational Education (EKKA) is responsible for:
  - accreditation of institutions
  - quality assessment of study programme groups
- Higher music education institutions can request EKKA to include a foreign assessment authority
  - EKKA needs to approve of MusiQuE's participation
  - If approved, MusiQuE is able to assist in formal accreditation processes
- Final decision to accredit institutions or programmes will be made by EKKA

#### Finland

- Quality assurance on institutional level
- Finnish Education Evaluation Centre (FINEEC) is responsible for quality control
- Higher music education institutions can choose a foreign assessment agency such as MusiQuE for an external audit of their activities
- FINEEC's Higher Education Evaluation Committee will decide whether to accredit an institution based on audit report



#### Iceland

- Quality assurance on subject and institutional level
  - subject-level reviews are internal and are led by the higher music education institution itself
  - institutional-level reviews are external and are coordinated by the Quality Board for Icelandic Higher Education
- The Ministry of Education, Science and Culture can delegate the administration of an external evaluation to a foreign agency such as MusiQuE
- The Ministry takes the final decision about the external evaluation of the institution



### Latvia

- Quality assurance on programme and institutional level
- Higher Education Quality Evaluation Centre (HEQEC) composes an Evaluation Committee
- Final decision about the accreditation is taken based on recommendations of HEQEC Evaluation Committee, by:
  - the Council for Higher Education (for institutional accreditation)
  - the Accreditation Commission set up by the Ministry for Education and Science (for study programmes accreditation)
- The Latvian legislation does not foresee the possibility for any other agency such as MusiQuE to have a formal role in the accreditation process

### Lithuania

- Quality assurance on programme and institutional level
- Centre for Quality Assessment in Higher Education (SKVC) is authorised to organise external evaluations
- For audits on programme level:
  - higher music education institutions can choose a foreign assessment agency listed on EQAR to draft the evaluation report
  - MusiQuE (once registered on EQAR) is able to assist in formal programme accreditation
  - SKVC takes final decision about accreditation, based upon evaluation report
- For audits on institutional level: only SKVC is authorized to evaluate and accredit the institution

### Norway Quality Assurance System

- Audit of the internal quality assurance system at institutional level
- Norwegian Agency for Quality Assurance in Education (NOKUT) is empowered by law to be the only official agency for this quality audit
- The Norwegian quality assurance system is not open to international agencies such as MusiQuE for the required audit
- But MusiQuE can be used for internal quality assurance purposes

### Sweden

- Quality assurance on program level:
  - higher education institutions have full responsibility for their own quality assurance procedures and this is not evaluated by a central authority on institutional level
  - the Swedish National Agency for Higher Education's Quality Evaluations assesses the quality of the education on programme level
  - new evaluation system anticipated to be implemented in 2016
- The Higher Education Act does not mention a possibility for international agencies such as MusiQuE to operate but could MusiQuE be used for internal QA purposes?



#### 'Social contracts'?

- This is all about:
  - Being accountable
  - Being focused on improving ourselves
  - Confirming the international reality of our profession
  - Strengthening credibility of the sector by showing this is something we can organise ourselves

## MusiQuE – Music Quality Enhancement

Website: www.musique-qe.eu

Request MusiQuE reviews! Contact us! info@musique-qe.eu

