# To the Board of MusiQuE

# MusiQuE's External Evaluator's report 2016

#### Purpose and scope of the report

The 2015 Self Evaluation Report of MusiQuE stated the following:

An external evaluator will be appointed, who will formulate recommendations to the Board of MusiQuE, based on a "review [of] material documenting MusiQuE's activity, especially the annual report and to monitor the compatibility of the system with the ESG for QA"

#### **Background**

MusiQuE was accepted for registration in EQAR (European Quality Assurance Register) on 6 June 2016.

MusiQuE had been subjected to an external review of compliance with the European Standards and Guidelines (ESG, 2015) with a view of making an application to be listed in EQAR, which took place on 1-2 July 2016.

The review panel having concluded that MusiQuE fully complies with eight Standards, substantially complies with five Standards and partially complies with ESG 3.5 (Resources), recommended that the EQAR Register Committee accept MusiQuE's application. The panel considered that 'over time' MusiQuE will have the opportunity to strengthen its 'financial sustainability', and formulated a proposal to the RC for inclusion in EQAR.

Following this external review, there was an exchange of communication, involving the Chair of the Register Committee, the Chair of the External Review panel, and finally, through the Director of EQAR, with the Board of MusiQuE, touching upon various issues mentioned in the External Review report.

Whereas the only proviso from the peer review had been the financial sustainability (ESG 3.5, Resources) the Register Committee in its letter to the Board of MusiQuE dated 18-05-2016 also formulated observations on two other Standards, namely ESG 3.1 (Stakeholders' involvement), and ESG 3.3 (Independence). The RC having accepted the arguments from the Head of the External Review team on compliance with ESG 3.3 (Independence) decided on **partial compliance** for ESG 3.1 (Stakeholders' involvement) and ESG 3.5 (Resources). The RC however expressed its confidence that MusiQuE would be able to manage the remaining shortcomings appropriately, and therefore concluded that "MusiQuE **complies substantially** with the ESG as a whole" and approved the application for inclusion in the Register.

# **General Conclusions**

 MusiQuE, has clear and distinct provisions for the accreditation, quality enhancement and advisory procedures, and transparent references to the original proceedings and proven relevant expertise gathered by MusiQuE's 'founder' AEC, through the framework of 'AEC Quality Enhancement Process". The links with the two other 'partner organizations' (EMU – music employers; and PEARLE – performing arts employers), which now together constitute the 'MusiQuE foundation', are clarified in the Statutes of the organization, which state that MusiQuE is a Foundation under Dutch Law, with a registered Seat in The Hague, NL. ('Memorandum and Articles of Association' of 7 October 2014).

- 2. <u>MusiQuE originated</u> from the wish to have formal accreditation procedures run from the sector itself, while remaining deeply connected to the music sector through AEC and its partner networks AEC, PEARLE. The Statutes of MusiQuE prescribe that membership of the Board of MusiQuE, which is the sole statutory body of the association, is subject to being a partner organization, which then has the exclusive right to nominate a member of the Board (Article 4, par. C of the Statutes). AEC, as the founding organization, is guaranteed a structural majority in the Board ("... the number of Board members nominated by the AEC shall always have a majority on the Board", Statutes, Article 4, par. d), while the Board also has the right to invite other organizations as partner organizations, who may then appoint a Board member. This opens the way for broadening the profile of the association, in line with evolutions in quality assurance and accreditation procedures.
- 3. MusiQuE has advanced robust reasoning for going for <u>subject-specific QA &</u> <u>accreditation</u>, which has led to a close involvement with the sector of music education. It was meant as a shift away from more dogmatic and formal procedures towards 'content-oriented' approaches, which would be characterized by 'flexibility, diversity, transparency and accountability' (Mission Statement of MusiQuE). In addition MusiQuE aims at creating a framework for voluntary review processes in music education specifically geared to quality enhancement.
- 4. As explained in its Activity Report 2014-2015 MusiQuE wants to be proactive in securing its long term viability and sustainability. In order to achieve this, it will have to increase its marketing to a wider group of Higher Music Education Institutions (HMEIs) in the EHEA and beyond. MusiQuE could for this purpose further increase its services to targeted HMEI : organize training sessions on e.g. writing self-evaluation reports, make review reports available more widely, in the format of executive summaries in English and national languages; have a greater diversity in the reviewers' profiles, via the partner organizations Pearle and EMU, and by broadening the pool of experts in the MusiQuE Peer Reviewers Register.
- 5. The financial sustainability of MusiQuE needs to be a constant concern, as was pointed out by the External Review Team, in its 2015 Report, and further stressed by the RC's Report of June 2016. Important steps were made in securing continuing support (until 2020) from the three partner organizations that constitute the Board of MusiQuE, in the form of a formal written agreement (d.d. 17/09/2015), and the question of staffing/staff servicing through secondment from AEC has been consolidated for the same period through a Convention with AEC (d.d. 30/06/2016). The above warranties may however not suffice to guarantee MusiQuE's long-term

sustainability. It is therefore necessary for MusiQuE to come forward with innovative ideas to tap also other sources of funding.

# Main findings on ESG 2015 compliance :

The following are observations regarding the issues which were highlighted in EQAR's Register Committee report of 6 June 2016, concerning compliance with the ESG (revised ESG, 2015), and which granted MusiQuE inclusion in EQAR, until 30 November 2020.

# ESG 3.1 Stakeholders involvement

Following the recommendation of the RC the aim should be to have a sustainable and fullyfledged student representation in the MusiQuE Board in future. The recommendation of the RC of EQAR could be met at short notice by relaxing the rule of mandatory experience in accreditation/QA via the MusiQuE Reviewers Register for members of the MusiQuE Board. MusiQuE could for example appoint a Phd student or a recent graduate/alumnus from Music Higher Education, with a declared interest in quality in music education. In line with the Statutes of MusiQuE, AEC would have to nominate this additional person, who will be able to take up a seat upon unanimous decision of the Board.

# **ESG 3.3 Independence**

With the present statutory arrangement for the composition of the MusiQuE Board (with AEC, and sometime EMU and Pearle selecting the candidates, who need to be on the MusiQuE Peer Reviewers' Register) a relatively narrow Board profile is created, with too much interdependence.

It is therefore commendable to have additional stakeholders' representatives with 'a music affiliation or interest' on the Board of MusiQuE, who are from the broader society (e.g. representatives of pre-school music education). Involving 'parties' outside the narrower circle of music experts with review experience, may lead to a greater commitment of 'civil society' to the broad range of activities of MusiQuE, enhance its visibility, and ultimately contribute to its sustainability.

The present arrangement with AEC to hire staff from this partner organization is a good solution on the financial and administrative side, as long as the hiring procedure is based on strict and impartial arrangements, in order to avoid a potential cause of conflict of interest.

MusiQuE's management, staff and experts being selected by the MusiQuE Board, full operational independence is to be guaranteed and should be at all times verifiable.

As is clearly stated in the Register Committee's recommendations, on a longer term, however, it would be good to envisage entire independence (from AEC), in terms of management, staff and resources.

#### **ESG 3.5 Resources**

On the basis of the current estimates MusiQuE's long term sustainability cannot be guaranteed by an income from review activities only. The breaking point of MusiQuE is estimated at five reviews per year and is dependent on the current support by AEC staff via a contractual agreement or by secondment. The immediate future of MusiQuE appears safe, with a sufficient number of confirmed procedures for 2016, and a fair estimate for 2017, and on the basis of a contractually guaranteed assistance by AEC. On a longer term further assistance remains a discretionary power in the hands of AEC, as the contractual agreement stipulates that the financial support will be given 'when assistance is needed'.

For reason of transparency it is also recommended to have an overview of the ongoing cooperation agreements of MusiQuE with national and other (sector specific) agencies, in connection with joint programs, recognition and accreditation.

#### **Conclusions**

Through its inclusion in EQAR, MusiQuE has increased its potential as a trustworthy and sustainable QA & accreditation body, and consequently enhanced its prestige and visibility in the EHEA. It is realistic to think that the 'European approach' in the recognition of joint programs will further stimulate MusiQuE's cooperation with national governments (and QA agencies), for reviews leading to recognition in the coming years.

The revised ESG rightly stress the application of LOs in teaching and accreditation, which means that a focus on subject-specific LOs is an additional asset for HEIs going through a review, and consequently creates opportunities for the sector-specific agencies 'accompanying' or 'processing' them in this.

# Recommendations to the Board of MusiQuE:

The external evaluator would like to extend recommendations under the following objectives:

# Further strengthen outreach to stakeholders and the wider community:

In view of meeting the recommendation of the RC of EQAR for a 'student representation' in the Board of MusiQuE at a short term, the Board should in a next meeting take a decision on a de facto extension of the Board with one or more representatives of student organizations, HME alumni, umbrella organizations representing music teachers at various education levels, etc. This move can at a later stage be formalized by adapting the Statutes of MusiQuE in a way that the Board can in a legal manner be extended, on a unanimous decision of its current members, to include representatives of the wider community with affinity to the music (education) sector.

#### Enhance services and provisions for present and future 'beneficiaries' of MusiQuE :

- In accordance with its express intention to enhance its service to current and future beneficiaries of its activities, the Board of MusiQuE should:
  - develop an appropriate strategy for implementing the 'European joint programmes approach' as an individual player in the QA market for higher music education
  - examine the possibility of interdisciplinary or transdisciplinary cooperation with networks of related or complementary disciplines to music education (Arts, Teacher Education, Cultural Management, etc.)
  - connect with global networks of Music Education and QA-Accreditation bodies, through MOUs, in view of extending its activities also beyond the EHEA.

#### Increase financial stability and sustainability:

- The Board of MusiQuE should continue its efforts of a complete transformation of the former *AEC Review Scheme* into a full-fledged and sustainable agency for QA & Accreditation, on the basis of a detailed Business Plan for the next 4 years.
- The Board should develop strategies for appointing and deploying staff of its own, and solve the VAT issue.
- The Board may set up a contingency fund, as a reserve for as long as the dependence on the 'founding & partner organizations' will last, based on the contractual agreements established with AEC & its partner organizations.

Gent (BE), July 2017

Stefan G.M. Delplace, external evaluator